

Attorney's Docket No. 021964/337028

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

In re:	Nedbal et al.	Confirmation No.:	6394
Appl. No.:	10/629,662	Group Art Unit:	3677
Filed:	July 29, 2003	Examiner:	Sakran, Victor N.
For:	ZIPPER PULL WITH COVER MEMBER		

Attention: Office of Petitions

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

1. ☒ Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m) (\$1540 or \$770 for small entity)
☐ Applicant claims Small entity status (37 CFR 1.27)
☐ Please charge the petition fee to Deposit Account 16-0605
2. Reply and/or fee
 - A. The reply and/or fee to the above noted Office action in the form of an **Amendment Filed with RCE**:
☐ has been filed previously on
☒ is enclosed herewith.
☐ Please charge the required fee to Deposit Account 16-0605
 - B. The issue fee of \$
☐ has been paid previously on
☐ is enclosed herewith.
☐ Please charge the issue fee to Deposit Account 16-0605
3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee
☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$65 for a small entity or \$130 for other than a small entity) disclaiming a period equivalent to the number of months from the date of abandonment to the filing of this petition is enclosed herewith.

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Assignee and equitable owner of the present application, YKK Corp., and the underlying inventors were completely unaware until only very recently that the present application had gone abandoned. Upon realizing that the present application had gone abandoned, Applicants promptly made the decision to transfer prosecution of the present case to the law firm of Alston & Bird, LLP, who is now Applicants' new counsel of record. Applicants' new counsel of record then immediately reviewed the file upon receipt and prepared the subject Petition to Revive on the good faith belief that the entire delay in responding to the outstanding Office Action was unintentional.

Respectfully submitted,

/Stephen G. McNiff/

Stephen G. McNiff
Registration No. 53,350

CUSTOMER NO. 00826
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000
Tel Atlanta Office (404) 881-7000
Fax Atlanta Office (404) 881-7777

ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE ON **December 14, 2007**.